

Appendix 1 – CWP Project Scoring Criteria Matrix

Criteria	Health, Safety & Security	COL Reputational	Sustainability & Equality	Asset performance	Client Feedback	
Criteria weighting	5	3	4	5	3	
Description	<p>1 To what extent is the COL exposed to risk if project not undertaken in this programme</p> <p>2 Is the project required to meet a statutory compliance standard (e.g. Reservoir Act)</p> <p>3 Is the project required to meet the equivalent of a highways agency standard (structural integrity)</p>	<p>1 To what extent is the COL reputation tarnished or risked if the project is not undertaken in this programme</p> <p>2 How does the proposed work maintain or protect the heritage of the property or its local standing</p>	<p>To what extent does the specific project contribute towards Sustainability and Equality in accordance with the Climate Action Strategy and Equalities Act</p>	<p>What impact will sudden unexpected failure of the asset have on the COL to deliver its front line services</p>	<p>1 Is the project of sufficient importance to the occupying department that they consider it meritorious from a front line service delivery perspective</p> <p>2 Is their Member or committee or other interests that have not been taken into account</p>	
RATING SCALE	0					
	1	No H&S implications	<p>1 No reputational impact</p> <p>2 Non public support space, temporary use, underutilised or</p> <p>3 Not listed, General operational building, staff welfare or</p> <p>4 Listed (any grade) but project not relevant to listing status</p>	<p>1 No impact on Sustainability</p> <p>2 No impact on Equality</p>	<p>Failure will have little or no impact on front line service delivery</p>	<p>The occupying department has not identified this project as a priority</p>
	3	<p>1 Where works are considered industry best practice</p> <p>2 Where works have been recommended in a Fire Risk Assessment</p>	<p>Isolated service user /stakeholder complaints contained within business unit</p>	<p>1 Where the project will improve Energy Efficiency and Sustainability</p> <p>2 Where works are required to cover provision of welfare facilities for Members of the public generally</p>	<p>1 Failure will have minor impact on service delivery, typically up to 1 day</p> <p>2 unexpected failure unaffordable against local risk budget</p> <p>3 Where the project will improve energy efficiency</p>	<p>1 The Head of Service has identified this project as key to their service delivery</p> <p>2 There were other relevant factors that were not available to the evaluation team</p>
	5	<p>There is deemed to be a valid and current health and safety risk and that risk is likely to increase disproportionately if works are not undertaken within a reasonable time frame (say within 18 months).</p>	<p>Adverse local media coverage, multiple service user & stakeholder complaints but with careful handling it can be managed</p>	<p>1 Where the project will significantly improve energy efficiency and sustainability</p> <p>2 Where works are needed to facilities that are relied upon by a protected group in a general purpose building such as public car park</p>	<p>1 Service disruption 2-5 days</p> <p>2 Repeated failure of the asset - repairs proved unsuccessful.</p> <p>3 Beyond economic repair</p> <p>4 There is no viable long term workaround solution</p> <p>5. Where the project will significantly improve energy efficiency</p>	<p>1 A Consultative Committee has expressed its wish that the project be considered of more importance than others</p> <p>2 A Chief Officer has expressed a wish that the project be considered as more important than others</p>
	7	<p>1 Where failure to provide might negate insurance cover</p> <p>2 Required to maintain to the equivalent of a highways agency standard</p>	<p>1 Adverse national media coverage 1-3 days</p> <p>2 Grade 2 or 2*, Conservation area or of national importance</p>	<p>1 Project will assist with delivering the Climate Action Strategy</p> <p>2 Where works are needed to facilities that are specifically provided for a protected group such as primary school, medical centre and Children's Library</p>	<p>Service Disruption > 1week to 4 weeks</p>	<p>A Member or Service Committee has expressed a wish that the project be given priority over others</p>
	9	<p>1 Required to provide to comply with statutory requirements</p> <p>2 Where failure to provide could have effect on wider populous</p>	<p>1 National publicity more than 3 days . Possible resignation of A Member or chief Officer</p> <p>2 Scheduled Ancient Monument, Grade 1, National Importance</p> <p>3 Required as a result of Court case</p>	<p>1 Project is mandated by Law</p> <p>2 Where failure to provide could have effect on wider populous</p>	<p>Service disruption > 4 weeks.</p>	<p>1 CASC has expressed their wish that the project be included as a priority list project (above the line)</p> <p>2 A Senior Grand Committee has expressed a wish that the project be included a priority list project (above the line)</p>